

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH, A  
 Course: IAT 339 D100  
 Semester: 15-2

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND	Frequency Distribution					Valid Responses	No Resp.
	Weight:	4	3	2	1		
1. What is your cumulative grade point average? 1) 3.5 or over 2) 3.0 to 3.49 3) 2.5 to 2.99 4) 2.0 to 2.49 5) below 2.0		3 17%	8 44%	7 39%	0 0%	0 0%	3.14 18 5
2. Why did you take this course? 1) It was compulsory 2) I am interested in the course 3) No alternative course available 4) It looked like an easy credit 5) Other reasons		2 11%	16 84%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	19 4
GENERAL							
3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?	always	21 91%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever 3.91 23 0
4. The course prerequisites were	essential	10 45%	5 23%	5 23%	0 0%	2 9%	not essential 2.95 22 1
5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was	too easy	0 0%	1 4%	14 61%	8 35%	0 0%	too difficult 1.70 23 0
6. The amount of work required for the course was	too little	0 0%	0 0%	16 70%	7 30%	0 0%	too much 1.70 23 0
7. How valuable was the course content?	very	14 61%	6 26%	2 9%	1 4%	0 0%	not very 3.43 23 0
8. The course text or supplementary material was	relevant	15 65%	4 17%	4 17%	0 0%	0 0%	irrelevant 3.48 23 0
	A		B	C	D	F	
9. I would rate this course as		14 61%	8 35%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	3.57 23 0

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH, A

Course: IAT 339

Semester: 15-2

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

COURSE GRADING	Weight:	Frequency Distribution					Valid Responses	No Resp.		
		4	3	2	1	0				
10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	21 91%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	unrelated	3.91	23	0
11. The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	11 48%	6 26%	5 22%	1 4%	0 0%	unfair	3.17	23	0
12. The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	7 30%	7 30%	4 17%	5 22%	0 0%	unfair	2.70	23	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS										
13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	19 83%	4 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	uninformative	3.83	23	0
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	18 78%	4 17%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	poor	3.70	23	0
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	18 78%	5 22%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.78	23	0
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	19 83%	3 13%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	low	3.78	23	0
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	9 39%	7 30%	7 30%	0 0%	0 0%	inadequate	3.09	23	0
18. Questions during class were	encouraged	18 78%	5 22%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	3.78	23	0
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	19 83%	2 9%	1 4%	1 4%	0 0%	never available	3.70	23	0
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	17 77%	4 18%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	not at all	3.73	22	1
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	21 91%	1 4%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.87	23	0
A B C D F										
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		20 87%	3 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		3.87	23	0

School of Interactive Arts & Technology  
(SIAT)  
250 – 13450 102nd Avenue  
Surrey, British Columbia  
Canada, V3T 0A3  
[www.siat.sfu.ca](http://www.siat.sfu.ca)

T 778.782.7517  
F 778.782.9422

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryhkewich
Semester:	Summer 2015 (1154)
Course:	IAT 339
Section:	D100

**General Comments:** This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

**Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?**

**Strongest:**

- Strong communications.
- You speak super well and you're a great lecturer! Thanks for always helping with my code how'd you get so good at coding? I feel like you can solve like ANY problem.
- Very knowledgeable and responsive.
- Andrew was very helpful during office hours, and also extremely knowledgeable. I liked the fast pace of this course compared to 235.
- This course is an amazing course. One suggestion is maybe there will more materials of coding for tutorial.
- Overall was very good.
- Overall the course is really good. It's one of those classes where students are able to gain a lot and actually use what we learned. The structure of the class (lec + coding + tutorials) seems to work out well. The discussions on sass and preprose seems short though.
- Last name too long. Very funny dude.
- Andrew was an excellent professor at explaining and unpacking ideas that were originally an area I feared to understand. The course as a whole was very valuable to me.
- This class is the single most practical course I've taken. Andre's a great prof.
- Ability to communicate confusing material by breaking information down into analogies that make sense to the class. Humble, thanks you.

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Receiving critiques is easy due to his helpful and openly advertised office house, though more feedback about assignment grading justifications would be helpful as well.</li> <li>• Great instructor. The method of teaching and distribution of assignments give you a very similar experience to work in the real work environments.</li> <li>• Andrea give great feedback and show high interest in the course.</li> </ul>
<p>Weakest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Availability in labs</li> <li>• I really wanted to learn wordpress!</li> <li>• I didn't really receive any feedback on my assignment.</li> <li>• We spend too much time on preparing the assignment 2. Ie: wireframe, style guide.</li> <li>• A lot of work (2 sites seems like a lot). Maybe try to combine them both so we can have more time to polish stuff.</li> <li>• Some of the topic/material taught in lecture tutorial time isn't too clear and helpful in terms of applying them to project due to not really understand it still.</li> <li>• Need for proper feedback was cumbersome due to office hours being too early to have anything substantial or too late.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?</b></p>
<p>Strongest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lecture slides</li> </ul>
<p>Weakest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Case studies</li> <li>• Teach us proper coding structure so we don't get bad marks on that part. Or maybe it is because I didn't do the readings. LOL</li> <li>• IAT 235 was not relevant for me as a pre-req took it in 2013, therefore the material wasn't related as well. Because of this, went into the class blindly of no prior knowledge of HTML/CSS/JS.</li> <li>• The marking seems a bit too critical (evident from PO2 canvas graph).</li> <li>• I would prefer the cons pros to be sooner so we potentially have more time for portfolio project.</li> <li>• Covers too much material. One week on prepress one or two on javascript, not enough time to learn.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?</b></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback on projects were not given or project marks weren't explained. It is</li> </ul>

The logo for Simon Fraser University (SFU) features the letters "SFU" in white on a dark red rectangular background.

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY  
SURREY

School of Interactive Arts & Technology  
(SIAT)  
250 – 13450 102nd Avenue  
Surrey, British Columbia  
Canada, V3T 0A3  
[www.siat.sfu.ca](http://www.siat.sfu.ca)

T 778.782.7517  
F 778.782.9422

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

unknown what to work on for future projects.

- Wish office hours could be in the middle of the week rather than before class, maybe like Wed.

**School of Interactive Arts & Technology  
(SIAT)**  
250 – 13450 102nd Avenue  
Surrey, British Columbia  
Canada, V3T 0A3  
[www.siat.sfu.ca](http://www.siat.sfu.ca)

T 778.782.7517  
F 778.782.9422

## SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks