

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A		COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
Course: IAT 235											
Semester: 14-3 D100											
		Frequency Distribution					Valid No				
		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0	Mean	Responses	Resp.	
BACKGROUND											
1. What is your cumulative grade point average?		8	10	8	6	0		3.06	32	30	
1) 3.5 or over		25%	31%	25%	19%	0%					
2) 3.0 to 3.49											
3) 2.5 to 2.99											
4) 2.0 to 2.49											
5) below 2.0											
2. Why did you take this course?		25	5	0	1	2			33	29	
1) It was compulsory		76%	15%	0%	3%	6%					
2) I am interested in the course											
3) No alternative course available											
4) It looked like an easy credit											
5) Other reasons											
GENERAL											
3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?		always	55	6	1	0	0	hardly ever	3.87	62	0
			89%	10%	2%	0%	0%				
4. The course prerequisites were		essential	24	17	10	3	7	not essential	2.79	61	1
			39%	28%	16%	5%	11%				
5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was		too easy	0	8	39	13	1	too difficult	1.89	61	1
			0%	13%	64%	21%	2%				
6. The amount of work required for the course was		too little	0	6	38	13	4	too much	1.75	61	1
			0%	10%	62%	21%	7%				
7. How valuable was the course content?		very	26	27	5	3	0	not very	3.25	61	1
			43%	44%	8%	5%	0%				
8. The course text or supplementary material was		relevant	24	17	14	3	3	irrelevant	2.92	61	1
			39%	28%	23%	5%	5%				
		A	B	C	D	F					
9. I would rate this course as			24	26	8	3	0		3.16	61	1
			39%	43%	13%	5%	0%				

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A		COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
Course: IAT 235											
Semester: 14-3											
		Frequency Distribution									
		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0				
COURSE GRADING								Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.	
10.	The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	35 57%	24 39%	0 0%	1 2%	1 2%	unrelated	3.49	61	1
11.	The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	22 36%	25 41%	10 16%	1 2%	3 5%	unfair	3.02	61	1
12.	The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	15 25%	23 38%	15 25%	5 8%	3 5%	unfair	2.69	61	1
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS											
13.	How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	33 54%	17 28%	9 15%	2 3%	0 0%	uninformative	3.33	61	1
14.	The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	44 72%	16 26%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.70	61	1
15.	The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	42 70%	10 17%	5 8%	2 3%	1 2%	poor	3.50	60	2
16.	The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	47 77%	12 20%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%	low	3.70	61	1
17.	The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	24 39%	26 43%	6 10%	2 3%	3 5%	inadequate	3.08	61	1
18.	Questions during class were	encouraged	44 72%	11 18%	5 8%	0 0%	1 2%	discouraged	3.59	61	1
19.	Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	46 75%	11 18%	4 7%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.69	61	1
20.	Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	41 67%	10 16%	9 15%	1 2%	0 0%	not at all	3.49	61	1
21.	Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	49 80%	9 15%	2 3%	1 2%	0 0%	poor	3.74	61	1
			A	B	C	D	F				
22.	I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		40 66%	18 30%	2 3%	1 2%	0 0%		3.59	61	1

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Fall 2014 (1147)
Course:	IAT 235 – Information Design
Section:	D100

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the *instructor*, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- It's clear you love this material. I felt motivated to learn it based on your teaching style.
- He is serious about the material but brings a sense of levity to how we learn it.
- Probably the coolest prof ever! Very into the material and excellent at teaching. (also very entertaining). This course is A-OK. Thanks for a great sem! (Drawing of a person.)
- A= Andrew. A= Awesome. Drawing of prof saying html! Css!
- A very funny and intelligent professor!
- Overall, awesome instructor. Funny at times.
- Andrew I appreciate your lecturing style and approachability! The extra office hours were super useful. Keep it up and thanks for an enjoyable class.
- Best prof ever. Drawing of dinosaur.
- Ability to convey information. Very positive, very informative & very good w/constructive crit.
- Andrew is awesome! Very funny and nice to the students. I learned a lot from him.
- Excellent prof. we need more entertainment in the classrooms so we are more likely to pay attention.
- Andrew was an enthusiastic instructor and very knowledgable.
- He is well grounded in the course content and book b.
- He's really good at 'talking to the point'.

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Funny, engaging instructor.• Great lecturer who knows how to teach. Very helpful and knowledgeable with HTML + CSS.• Instructor's professional ability is very well and all answers about html + css can be answered.• Fun prof. drawing of man in top hat and tie.• Expectation comparing to course material & teaching's instruction was high.• Andrew is a really enthusiastic prof with a great interest in what he teaches, which really helped make learning html & css more enjoyable.
<p>Weakest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Andrew is a nice prof, however I hoped to learn more about graphic design rather than programming.• Sometimes miss out on coding tutorials because things run a little long but it's not huge.
<p>Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?</p>
<p>Strongest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Good course and instructor.• Love learning html & css.• Overall very informative course and I learned valuable skills. Drawing of a flying pig.• This class is really good but I recomend that any one going into web design needs this class but the grading is a bit on the steeper side.• Course was useful.• What was most helpful about this class was that I actually learned the proper way to make a responsive website which was super interesting! Drawing of prof and TAs.• Interesting and useful course material. Great course.• Very good course & very informative on websites & design.• Teach HTML & CSS!• Like his lecture slides.• Instructions and assignments were ambiguos and unclear, but feedback was good.• I like how skills to be used for website (eg coding) were taught in class. Also how it was repeated in lab in case we missed anything.• This class was very interesting. Noot noot.• Good explanation of HTML/CSS step by step.



School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Weakest:

- Variety of content is too high. We has to learn UML, HTML, CSS, and Java although it is not necessary. Should be more focused on design and HTML/CSS rather than spending half the class on design.
- Could have more crits to encourage student feedback and to build our critique skills! Project outline is confusing. I always mix up what is due each week.
- Quiz material was arbitrary, and I didn't incorporate it into my assignments.
- However, because there is so much content (especially, in the programming parts) the course involved a lot of learning by yourself. Drawing of a cat.
- Things could have been explained clearer. I.A. is still confusing due to different definitions of it being used on the web. The schedule assignments were due on was confusing.
- Marking seems a tad harsh, other than that, this course is great ;)
- Group work is very difficult with this course & should be reduced.
- The course instructions could be more organized because I often got confused with what I needed to do. The project and assignment tasks are separate and seems too scattered. Drawing of prof.
- I feel that this course would benefit from a reshuffle in priorities. While there is a lot of HTML work, I initially thought this would be much more of a theoretical course, not featuring much real code. HTML should be the prime focus.
- Too much deliverables and scope does not cover javascript. More practice of javascript with labs.
- Would have been nice to explore further though, in addition to review.
- The markings on projects are too harsh.
- Too much reading!! Too much works!!!!!!!!!! Marking is a little unfair!!!
- Did teach the information about web, but not much about design. Since we didn't learn much design, they mark us most marks on design which is unfair to students.
- Marks were quite unfair.
- Andrwe is a hard marker; he is too picky about what we design. Andrew may be nice, but he needs to relax on the marking scheme. Here is a crappy dragon.
- It will be better is Javary was taught more ☺

Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?

- If I had 2 hours lef to live I would spend it on this class because it feels like an eternity.

School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Drawing of prof saying much hipster.
- `<!Doctype html> <html> <head> <title> IAT235</title> </head> <body> <p> I learned a lot, however his marking was a little to strict and his working speed was O(n)</p> </body> </html>`.
- Need marks out sooner (please and thank you).
- Responsive design: “Like cats, which are liquids.” Drawing of cat in a cup.
- It would be better if this course is taught in a big lecture hall instead of a normal class. Room is too wide, hard to focus on the screen, while focusing on the prof. would be better if this class is taught like other cmpt courses, especially when teaching coding.
- Great teacher, hope to have another class with him.
- I’d want him to spend 2 hrs of lecture all on coding when he starts teaching coding.
- Drawing of stick figure with flag saying HTML5!!!
- Drawing of a rock, because drawings rock.
- Thumbs up.