

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A
 Course: IAT 339
 Semester: 15-1

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

COURSE GRADING	Frequency Distribution					Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.
	Weight:	4	3	2	1			
10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were well related	25 78%	5 16%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	3.72	32	0
11. The exams and assignments were on the whole fair	12 38%	13 41%	4 13%	2 6%	1 3%	3.03	32	0
12. The marking scheme was on the whole fair	13 41%	9 28%	7 22%	2 6%	1 3%	2.97	32	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS								
13. How informative were the lectures/seminars? informative	25 78%	5 16%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	3.69	32	0
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were excellent	28 88%	2 6%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	3.78	32	0
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was excellent	25 81%	4 13%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	3.74	31	1
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be high	29 91%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	3.88	32	0
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was adequate	17 55%	7 23%	5 16%	2 6%	0 0%	3.26	31	1
18. Questions during class were encouraged	24 75%	6 19%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	3.69	32	0
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help? available	23 74%	3 10%	5 16%	0 0%	0 0%	3.58	31	1
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints? very	21 70%	5 17%	4 13%	0 0%	0 0%	3.57	30	2
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was excellent	24 75%	7 22%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	3.72	32	0
	A	B	C	D	F			
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as	22 69%	9 28%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	3.66	32	0

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A Course: IAT 339 Semester: 15-1	COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
BACKGROUND 1. What is your cumulative grade point average? 1) 3.5 or over 2) 3.0 to 3.49 3) 2.5 to 2.99 4) 2.0 to 2.49 5) below 2.0 2. Why did you take this course? 1) It was compulsory 2) I am interested in the course 3) No alternative course available 4) It looked like an easy credit 5) Other reasons GENERAL 3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars? 4. The course prerequisites were 5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was 6. The amount of work required for the course was 7. How valuable was the course content? 8. The course text or supplementary material was 9. I would rate this course as	Frequency Distribution					Valid No Mean Responses Resp.				
	Weight:	4	3	2	1	0				
		3 13%	13 57%	5 22%	1 4%	1 4%		3.10	23	9
		1 4%	22 96%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%			23	9
	always	26 81%	4 13%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	hardly ever	3.72	32	0
	essential	18 56%	5 16%	5 16%	2 6%	2 6%	not essential	3.09	32	0
	too easy	1 3%	1 3%	22 71%	6 19%	1 3%	too difficult	1.84	31	1
	too little	1 3%	0 0%	25 78%	5 16%	1 3%	too much	1.84	32	0
	very	20 63%	6 19%	3 9%	0 0%	3 9%	not very	3.25	32	0
	relevant	14 45%	6 19%	8 26%	2 6%	1 3%	irrelevant	2.97	31	1
	A	18 58%	12 39%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%		3.52	31	1

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Spring 2015 (1151)
Course:	IAT 339 – Web Design & Development
Section:	D100

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- Good communication, enthusiasm, interesting presentation of material, very awesome overall.
- I liked how you teach us at least the basics of coding + walk us through in lec/lab.
- His interest + enthusiasm.
- Awkward-funny good for atmosphere, lol
- Very enthusiastic knows his stuff, funny person too.
- Great teacher with perfect skills & teaching ability.
- Amazing ability to teach, great combo of technical know-how AND ability to communicate it. SO RARE in SIAT, Thank you!! Amazing and informative.
- Instructor is able to provide good feedback during crits.
- Hands-on portion of lecture was very good. Git was taught well.
- Andrew is the best ☺ hilarious & engaging!
- Great lectures, very humorous.
- Strong communication skills. Very enthusiastic. Blends the theoretical and technical material well.

Weakest:

- Marking was a bit hard.
- Goes a bit fast.
- The markings are too hard.
- Weakest: we can't clone Andrew and have him teach every web course ☹️ Lame! (He seriously needs to teach every programming course!)

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficult marker since this is an introductory course.
<p>Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?</p>
<p>Strongest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The pacing was good since I don't know much HTML/CSS. Projects were fun though the CMS one seemed irrelevant. • The course is fun! • Course teaches a lot about the importance of html/CSS/js which is important nowadays. • Cool course. • Personally, I think the best part is the js being taught in the course. I have been meaning to learn it. I feel like he should go deeper into js. • The difficulty was about right for a 300 level course. Very useful and interesting concepts. I wish I were able to attend all the lectures.
<p>Weakest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gap between students' level of coding is quite...frustrating. Not everybody's equal. Keep enthusiasm going maybe taking into consideration the gap would be nice for someone. • So hard for beginner. • Would have liked more time on portfolio, more about what makes a good website. • Some of the topics were just on the surface so once we left the course we did not use it again. • Sometimes surprise quizzes makes alot of stress. • The first half of the lecture => all the stuff we already know. Second half => too rush, and assisgnment is overload the course material. • The quiz's component sucked, gave lots of unnecessary stress when I could've spent time on projects. • I feel like I've been installing a lot of random programs for this course as some aren't used/utilized at all.
<p>Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thanks for the great semester! • More touch upon javascript/jQuery would be nice. • Nope, you're awesome ☺ • Would be nice if we had more lab time for the portfolio. • Wish I had more time to commit to this course... • Felt lost during coding tutorials because it was not clear that I had to download

School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)
250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

materials before class. Would be nice to get emails about class prep eg. downloading programs etc.

- Could zoom in on more web design and UX and explore further.
- Thanks for being a good professor this semester.