
Report Comments

This report contains the results of responses from students in the above course to the Institution-Wide, Faculty, Department (where applicable) and Instructor-selected questions.

Table of Contents

Section 1: Instructor Information

Section 2: Summary of Results:

- Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions
- Part 2. Faculty Questions
- Part 3. Department Questions (where applicable)

Section 3: Detailed Results:

- Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions
- Part 2. Faculty Questions
- Part 3. Department Questions (where applicable)

Section 4: Instructor Selected Questions - Detailed Results

Section 5: Comments

NOTE:

The responses to the Instructor Selected Questions are only reported to you as the instructor of the course. Your supervisor does NOT have access. If you would like to share this information with your supervisor or review committees, you may present it to them.

Creation Date: **Mon, May 07, 2018**

INSTRUCTOR REPORT

Response Rate

Raters	Students
Responded	25
Invited	87
Response Ratio	28.7%



Section 1: Instructor Information

Note that this section only displays if you have submitted contextual information, otherwise this section may be empty.

Section 2: Summary of Results - Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions

Please note the following is the scale used for all questions in this report unless indicated otherwise:

Scale used: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=No Opinion, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

Overall, the quality of my learning experience in this course was ...

Scale used: 1=Very Poor, 2=Poor, 3=Fair, 4=Good, 5=Very Good

Mean	SD	Resp
4.21	0.93	24

I attended class...

Scale used: 1=Never, 2=Rarely, 3>About half of the time, 4=Most of the time, 5=All of the time

Mean	SD	Resp
4.83	0.39	23

How easy was this course?

Scale used: 1=Very Hard 2=Hard, 3=Medium, 4=Easy, 5=Very Easy

Mean	SD	Resp
2.52	0.67	23

Experience with the instructor

	Mean	SD	Resp
The course instructor explained course concepts clearly.	4.46	0.78	24
The course instructor explained grading criteria clearly.	4.29	1.00	24
The course instructor created a respectful learning environment.	4.33	0.87	24
The course instructor was approachable when students asked for guidance.	4.38	0.92	24

Experience with the course

	Mean	SD	Resp
The different course parts/activities (lectures, labs, tutorials, online forums, discussions, etc.) were connected.	4.42	0.78	24
Course materials (textbook, readings, handouts, assignments, etc.) improved my understanding of the course content.	3.92	1.18	24
The assessments in this course (tests, assignments, essays, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course content.	4.17	0.92	24
Course activities (lectures, discussions, group work, labs, etc.) were engaging.	4.38	0.82	24

Section 2: Summary of Results - Part 2. Faculty of Communication, Arts and Technology Questions

Please note the following is the scale used for all questions in this report unless indicated otherwise:

Scale used: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=No Opinion, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

The instructor related course concepts to professional practices in the field.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.43	0.79	23

The feedback I received in this course on assignments, projects, tests, and/or papers provided guidance on how to improve my performance in the course.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.04	0.98	23

Overall, the quality of instruction provided by the instructor in the course was:

Scale used: 1=Very Poor, 2=Poor, 3=Fair, 4=Good, 5=Very Good

Mean	SD	Resp
4.30	0.97	23

The course expanded my understanding on important issues in the subject matter.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.17	0.98	23

The course provided opportunity for me to enhance my problem-solving skills.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.17	1.03	23

The course assignments, projects, tests, and/or papers highlighted important concepts of the course.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.22	0.80	23

The course assignment, projects, tests and/or papers helped me to develop skills I can use in other courses.

Mean	SD	Resp
4.43	0.73	23

Compared to other courses, the workload for this course was:

Scale used: 1=Very Heavy, 2=Heavy, 3=Average, 4=Light, 5=Very Light

Mean	SD	Resp
2.70	0.63	23

Section 3: Detailed Results - Part 1. Institution-Wide Questions

Notes:

- "NRP" in the following tables indicates that there is no score value for a response of **Not Applicable**
- In the comparison table, the information is displayed in the following order: **Mean, Count, Standard Deviation**


Overall, the quality of my learning experience in this course was ...

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Very Good	5	11	45.8%
Good	4	9	37.5%
Fair	3	2	8.3%
Poor	2	2	8.3%
Very Poor	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.21
Standard Deviation			0.93

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Overall, the quality of my learning experience in this course was ...	3.80	799	1.11	3.93	17103	1.05




I attended class...

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
All of the time	5	19	82.6%
Most of the time	4	4	17.4%
About half of the time	3	0	0.0%
Rarely	2	0	0.0%
Never	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			23
Mean			4.83
Standard Deviation			0.39

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
I attended class...	4.51	790	0.75	4.57	16973	0.68




How easy was this course?

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Very Easy	5	0	0.0%
Easy	4	0	0.0%
Medium	3	14	60.9%
Hard	2	7	30.4%
Very Hard	1	2	8.7%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			23
Mean			2.52
Standard Deviation			0.67

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
How easy was this course?	2.75	791	0.86	2.69	16981	0.84




The course instructor explained course concepts clearly.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	14	58.3%
Agree	4	8	33.3%
No Opinion	3	1	4.2%
Disagree	2	1	4.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.46
Standard Deviation			0.78

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor explained course concepts clearly.	3.96	798	1.12	4.07	17460	1.07




The course instructor explained grading criteria clearly.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	13	54.2%
Agree	4	7	29.2%
No Opinion	3	3	12.5%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.2%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.29
Standard Deviation			1.00

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor explained grading criteria clearly.	3.80	788	1.20	4.08	17282	1.06




The course instructor created a respectful learning environment.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	13	54.2%
Agree	4	7	29.2%
No Opinion	3	3	12.5%
Disagree	2	1	4.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.33
Standard Deviation			0.87

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor created a respectful learning environment.	4.23	786	0.94	4.41	17281	0.83




The course instructor was approachable when students asked for guidance.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	14	58.3%
Agree	4	7	29.2%
No Opinion	3	1	4.2%
Disagree	2	2	8.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.38
Standard Deviation			0.92

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course instructor was approachable when students asked for guidance.	4.19	768	0.94	4.34	17140	0.91




The different course parts/activities (lectures, labs, tutorials, online forums, discussions, etc.) were connected.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	13	54.2%
Agree	4	9	37.5%
No Opinion	3	1	4.2%
Disagree	2	1	4.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.42
Standard Deviation			0.78

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The different course parts/activities (lectures, labs, tutorials, online forums, discussions, etc.) were connected.	4.14	793	1.02	4.18	16629	0.95




Course materials (textbook, readings, handouts, assignments, etc.) improved my understanding of the course content.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	10	41.7%
Agree	4	6	25.0%
No Opinion	3	5	20.8%
Disagree	2	2	8.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.2%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			3.92
Standard Deviation			1.18

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Course materials (textbook, readings, handouts, assignments, etc.) improved my understanding of the course content.	3.90	784	1.11	4.04	16719	1.03




The assessments in this course (tests, assignments, essays, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course content.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	10	41.7%
Agree	4	10	41.7%
No Opinion	3	2	8.3%
Disagree	2	2	8.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.17
Standard Deviation			0.92

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The assessments in this course (tests, assignments, essays, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate my understanding of the course content.	4.01	782	1.07	3.98	16842	1.08




Course activities (lectures, discussions, group work, labs, etc.) were engaging.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	13	54.2%
Agree	4	8	33.3%
No Opinion	3	2	8.3%
Disagree	2	1	4.2%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			24
Mean			4.38
Standard Deviation			0.82

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Faculty (CAT)			Institution (SFU)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Course activities (lectures, discussions, group work, labs, etc.) were engaging.	3.85	784	1.24	3.92	16760	1.14



Section 3: Detailed Results - Part 2. Communication, Arts and Technology Questions


The instructor related course concepts to professional practices in the field.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	13	56.5%
Agree	4	8	34.8%
No Opinion	3	1	4.3%
Disagree	2	1	4.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Instructor			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The instructor related course concepts to professional practices in the field.	4.43	23	0.79	4.21	796	0.97




The feedback I received in this course on assignments, projects, tests, and/or papers provided guidance on how to improve my performance in the course.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	8	34.8%
Agree	4	10	43.5%
No Opinion	3	4	17.4%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.3%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Instructor			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The feedback I received in this course on assignments, projects, tests, and/or papers provided guidance on how to improve my performance in the course.	4.04	23	0.98	3.87	797	1.13



Overall, the quality of instruction provided by the instructor in the course was:


Scale used: 1=Very Poor, 2=Poor, 3=Fair, 4=Good, 5=Very Good

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Very Good	5	12	52.2%
Good	4	8	34.8%
Fair	3	2	8.7%
Poor	2	0	0.0%
Very Poor	1	1	4.3%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Instructor			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Overall, the quality of instruction provided by the instructor in the course was: Scale used: 1=Very Poor, 2=Poor, 3=Fair, 4=Good, 5=Very Good	4.30	23	0.97	3.91	796	1.12




The course expanded my understanding on important issues in the subject matter.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	9	39.1%
Agree	4	12	52.2%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	1	4.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.3%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course expanded my understanding on important issues in the subject matter.	4.17	23	0.98	3.96	795	1.05




The course provided opportunity for me to enhance my problem-solving skills.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	10	43.5%
Agree	4	10	43.5%
No Opinion	3	1	4.3%
Disagree	2	1	4.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.3%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course provided opportunity for me to enhance my problem-solving skills.	4.17	23	1.03	3.94	792	1.08




The course assignments, projects, tests, and/or papers highlighted important concepts of the course.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	9	39.1%
Agree	4	11	47.8%
No Opinion	3	2	8.7%
Disagree	2	1	4.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course assignments, projects, tests, and/or papers highlighted important concepts of the course.	4.22	23	0.80	4.10	791	0.96




The course assignment, projects, tests and/or papers helped me to develop skills I can use in other courses.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	12	52.2%
Agree	4	10	43.5%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	1	4.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
The course assignment, projects, tests and/or papers helped me to develop skills I can use in other courses.	4.43	23	0.73	3.92	792	1.11



Compared to other courses, the workload for this course was:


Scale used: 1=Very Heavy, 2=Heavy, 3=Average, 4=Light, 5=Very Light

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Very Light	5	0	0.0%
Light	4	1	4.3%
Average	3	15	65.2%
Heavy	2	6	26.1%
Very Heavy	1	1	4.3%

Comparison of Mean Scores

Question	Course			Faculty (CAT)		
	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation	Mean	Response Count	Standard Deviation
Compared to other courses, the workload for this course was: Scale used: 1=Very Heavy, 2=Heavy, 3=Average, 4=Light, 5=Very Light	2.70	23	0.63	2.56	792	0.94



Section 4: Instructor-Selected Questions

Please note the following:

- The responses to these questions are only reported to you as the instructor of the course. Your supervisor does NOT have access. If you would like to share this information with your supervisor or review committees, you may present it to them.

- Only the questions that you selected are displayed, otherwise this section may be empty.

The course instructor had reasonable learning expectations for students in the course.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	9	39.1%
Agree	4	12	52.2%
No Opinion	3	1	4.3%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	1	4.3%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.22	0.90	23

Course methods and assignments helped me develop skills I can use in other courses:

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	12	52.2%
Agree	4	10	43.5%
No Opinion	3	1	4.3%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.48	0.59	23

The course drew attention to current issues or real-life situations related to the field of study.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	12	52.2%
Agree	4	8	34.8%
No Opinion	3	2	8.7%
Disagree	2	1	4.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.35	0.83	23

The knowledge and skills instilled by this course are applicable to other courses.

Distribution of Responses

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Agree	5	11	47.8%
Agree	4	12	52.2%
No Opinion	3	0	0.0%
Disagree	2	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	1	0	0.0%

Mean	SD	Resp
4.48	0.51	23

Section 5: Comments

Note that this section only displays if comments have been submitted, otherwise this section may be empty.

Do you have any further comments?

Comments
i think you expect too much from the students compared to what we learn in the lecture and the lab. we don't learn enough to meet the expectations of yours since the software is new to most of the students. we need more information and more knowledges to fully complete the projects. perhaps it would be better if there were 3 projects and more time to get used to html and css.
Andrew taught relevant industry skills, and in lectures he was prepared and engaging.
Very approachable and uplifting personality. He also teaches the course material very well. However the final project in this course was a bit beyond our scope, felt as though there was much more that could have been taught to make the project more digestible.
Thank you so much for teaching! My group really appreciate the help and feedback you gave to us when we visited you during office hours! Hubbub was a blast (even though I dont think we deserved the People's Choice Award). Have a great summer and keep doing what youre doing! :) (Also, thank you to the TAs! They were really awesome and chill)
Excellent presenter!