

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH, A Course: IAT 381 Semester: 14-1 <i>D200</i>	COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
BACKGROUND 1. What is your cumulative grade point average? 1) 3.5 or over 2) 3.0 to 3.49 3) 2.5 to 2.99 4) 2.0 to 2.49 5) below 2.0 2. Why did you take this course? 1) It was compulsory 2) I am interested in the course 3) No alternative course available 4) It looked like an easy credit 5) Other reasons GENERAL 3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars? 4. The course prerequisites were 5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was 6. The amount of work required for the course was 7. How valuable was the course content? 8. The course text or supplementary material was 9. I would rate this course as	Frequency Distribution					Valid No				
	Weight:	4	3	2	1	0		Mean	Responses	Resp.
		8	18	6	0	0		3.28	32	6
	25%	56%	19%	0%	0%					
		6	25	1	0	0			32	6
	19%	78%	3%	0%	0%					
	always	28	9	1	0	0	hardly ever	3.71	38	0
		74%	24%	3%	0%	0%				
	essential	16	8	12	1	1	not essential	2.97	38	0
		42%	21%	32%	3%	3%				
	too easy	2	1	19	13	2	too difficult	1.68	37	1
		5%	3%	51%	35%	5%				
	too little	2	0	22	9	5	too much	1.61	38	0
		5%	0%	58%	24%	13%				
	very	24	11	2	0	1	not very	3.50	38	0
		63%	29%	5%	0%	3%				
	relevant	22	7	6	2	1	irrelevant	3.24	38	0
		58%	18%	16%	5%	3%				
	A	B	C	D	F					
		20	17	1	0	0		3.50	38	0
		53%	45%	3%	0%	0%				

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH

Course: IAT 381

Semester: 14-1

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

COURSE GRADING	Frequency Distribution					Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.		
	Weight:	4	3	2	1				0	
10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	29 76%	7 18%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	unrelated	3.68	38	0
11. The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	15 39%	9 24%	10 26%	2 5%	2 5%	unfair	2.87	38	0
12. The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	13 34%	8 21%	10 26%	5 13%	2 5%	unfair	2.66	38	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS										
13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	25 66%	13 34%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	uninformative	3.66	38	0
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	29 76%	8 21%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.74	38	0
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	29 76%	8 21%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.74	38	0
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	33 87%	5 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	low	3.87	38	0
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	20 53%	7 18%	5 13%	4 11%	2 5%	inadequate	3.03	38	0
18. Questions during class were	encouraged	32 84%	3 8%	3 8%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	3.76	38	0
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	31 82%	7 18%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.82	38	0
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	23 61%	14 37%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	not at all	3.58	38	0
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	29 76%	8 21%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	poor	3.74	38	0
		A	B	C	D	F				
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		23 62%	13 35%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%		3.59	37	1

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Spring 2014 (1141)
Course:	IAT 381
Section:	D200

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- He loves provide us the help. But the comment should lead us to get better in the course.
- Sense of humour = engaging lectures. Impressive array of bow ties! Great prof, one of my faves.
- High interest, knowledge and experience on course topic. Interesting examples and lecture material. Awesome ☺!
- One of the best profs I've had. Very informative and passionate. Teaches new concepts extremely well. His bow-tie game is on point.
- Awesome personality and knowledge about course materials and content.
- Very knowledgeable about course topics.
- Funny and entertaining at times :D
- Nice, helpful when ask questions.
- Andrew is a likable prof who understands his students.
- Andrew is one of the best design instructors you can have. He is very honest and straight forward on feedback of projects. Very approachable and friendly.
- Great professor! Very helpful and fun to work with. Lectures are not boring at all! {heart} your {bowtie}.
- Andrew is pretty much a perfect professor. Love the bowties. He and Paul Brokenshire make a formidable teaching duo and any course would benefit having these two teach it. Thanks for all the help I used to hate coding and now I can do HTML.



School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- He es good. I learn the webz. Much responsive. Drawing of a rocket.
- Fun, easygoing, loves jokes.
- Fun, engaging lectures with interesting content.
- I like this course because everything taught here is very useful, it's the subject I like and want to know more and Andrew delivered the content in a super fun and awesome way.
- He has gotten way better at teaching and conveying relavant information. His lectures are interesting.
- Creative presentation of course material and infectious enthusiasm.
- Learned a lot from you, Andrew! Coding has definitely gotten easier because of this class.

Weakest:

- Went to his office hours to get feedback, improved those elements of project and still got bad mark. He marks unfairly.
- Marking was a bit harsh.
- None to date.
- Really hard marker.
- Sometimes the coding shown is not explained well enough to understand its purpose. No feedback provided for assignments.
- Wish there was more direct feedback on assignments instead of having to ask.
- Takes too long to grade and return projects.
- Weak point is sometimes Andrew's feedback is somewhat vague, but again it's not all the time, I understand that he has a lot of things to do and very busy.
- n/a

Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?

Strongest:

- This was excellent course. The only problem I found is too long time to release grades for assignments. Assignments were related to each other thus not having grades on time affected quality of future work. 2 TAs?
- Relevance and importance of projects for future work development.
- Lecture set up and structure worked well.
- Course is really useful, it is really exceed my expectation for this course and I love how our final project is portfolio because this gave chance to start our portfolio and getting feedback on it.
- High real world application

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Weakest:

- Coding quiz was SO stressful.
- Although the course was fast-paced, I felt it was manageable, but as a newcomer to HTML/CSS the quiz was overwhelming to be done in 50 minutes and it was hard to apply jquery onwards to our assignments.
- A lot of dedicated work. Sometimes too much when taking more than one course.
- I thought this was a beginner's coding course, but it turns out that it is not. The expectations in the class are way too high and there's too much work required.
- It's suppose to be a coding class, not a design class.
- The quizzes were difficult just because there was not enough time given to complete them.
- I do wish we touch more of the javascript, jquery or CMS, maybe have Advanced Web class! ☺
- However, the course is not structured well, it should be split up into a beginners course on web design and then this course and the one after it. There is just too much covered here, for a beginner.
- Still under development, could include more coding.

Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?

- Get skinnier ties. They are horribly wide and stop wearing them with cardigans!
- I hope there are more examples for tutorials to help the assignment because new html and old html really confused me and took so much time.
- Drawing of a rabbit and carrot.
- Love the ties. More office hours.
- Stop with the quiriness!
- Need more time for learning coding.
- Raise his salary plz XD
- Readings and assignments barely relate.
- I'd like to have seen more WordPress taught because there's a huge market for it out there. Thanks for an awesome class! Drawing of Nyan Cat with "Nyan Cat approved!"