

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A

Course: IAT 381

Semester: 13-1

E100

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND	Frequency Distribution					Valid Responses	No Resp.
	Weight:	4	3	2	1		
1. What is your cumulative grade point average? 1) 3.5 or over 2) 3.0 to 3.49 3) 2.5 to 2.99 4) 2.0 to 2.49 5) below 2.0		17 38%	21 47%	7 16%	0 0%	0 0%	3.36 45 14
2. Why did you take this course? 1) It was compulsory 2) I am interested in the course 3) No alternative course available 4) It looked like an easy credit 5) Other reasons		3 7%	40 93%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	43 16
GENERAL							
3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?	always	31 53%	18 31%	8 14%	1 2%	1 2%	hardly ever 3.31 59 0
4. The course prerequisites were	essential	16 28%	10 18%	19 33%	5 9%	7 12%	not essential 2.40 57 2
5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was	too easy	6 10%	6 10%	35 59%	9 15%	3 5%	too difficult 2.05 59 0
6. The amount of work required for the course was	too little	3 5%	1 2%	39 66%	10 17%	6 10%	too much 1.75 59 0
7. How valuable was the course content?	very	27 46%	16 27%	13 22%	3 5%	0 0%	not very 3.14 59 0
8. The course text or supplementary material was	relevant	21 36%	15 25%	17 29%	2 3%	4 7%	irrelevant 2.80 59 0
	A		B	C	D	F	
9. I would rate this course as		22 38%	29 50%	6 10%	1 2%	0 0%	3.24 58 1

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A Course: IAT 381 Semester: 13-1	COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
COURSE GRADING  10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were  11. The exams and assignments were on the whole  12. The marking scheme was on the whole  INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS  13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?  14. The instructor's organization and preparation were  15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was  16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be  17. The instructor's feedback on my work was  18. Questions during class were  19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?  20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?  21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was  22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as	Frequency Distribution									
	Weight:	4	3	2	1	0		Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.
	well related	24 41%	24 41%	8 14%	2 3%	0 0%	unrelated	3.21	58	1
	fair	23 40%	24 42%	6 11%	3 5%	1 2%	unfair	3.14	57	2
	fair	23 40%	20 35%	8 14%	4 7%	2 4%	unfair	3.02	57	2
	informative	28 48%	17 29%	9 16%	4 7%	0 0%	uninformative	3.19	58	1
	excellent	40 69%	14 24%	3 5%	0 0%	1 2%	poor	3.59	58	1
	excellent	38 66%	14 24%	5 9%	0 0%	1 2%	poor	3.52	58	1
	high	46 79%	9 16%	2 3%	0 0%	1 2%	low	3.71	58	1
	adequate	31 53%	16 28%	9 16%	1 2%	1 2%	inadequate	3.29	58	1
	encouraged	46 79%	6 10%	4 7%	1 2%	1 2%	discouraged	3.64	58	1
	available	44 77%	8 14%	4 7%	0 0%	1 2%	never available	3.65	57	2
	very	42 72%	8 14%	7 12%	0 0%	1 2%	not at all	3.55	58	1
	excellent	47 81%	8 14%	2 3%	0 0%	1 2%	poor	3.72	58	1
	A	40 69%	14 24%	3 5%	0 0%	1 2%		3.59	58	1

**SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks**

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Spring 2013 (1131)
Course:	IAT 381 – Special Topics (Science)
Section:	E100

**General Comments:** This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- Great prof. Highly interested.
- Every class was enjoyable. Andrew's like a stand-up comedian and made the material really fun to learn.
- Knowledge of content, and ability to communicate.
- Engaging speaker who was well-informed about web design.
- Very patient with questions during lecture and very approachable for extra help. Solid teacher.
- Great prof.
- Teaches very valuable content, organized, gives good constructive feedback.
- Andrew is a great instructor! ☺.
- Approachable, funny, and all-round awesome dude. One of my favourite profs.
- Communicating.
- I really enjoy the course! Andrew shows a lot of interest toward the subject making the class very interesting to sit through ☺.
- Enthusoastic to teach students. Knows a lot about the subject.
- Knowledge.
- Funny! Sarcastic, great with the students. Makes class fun and engaging. (even though people don't always answer, Im sure they all find it interesting! ☺).
- Not awkward at all!
- Good prof, made classes fun!
- Really accessible, friendly instructor who cared.
- Thanks for implementing feedback and marking fairly.

Weakest:

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strategies used to create student participation were not always effective.</li> <li>• The instructor all hear our TA's comment. He has no comments of his own, bad teacher.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?</b></p> <p><b>Strongest:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Great course website: efficient.</li> <li>• Intro to web class that SIAT needs desperately.</li> <li>• Useful class. <u>WAY TOO MUCH WORK</u>. Great content though, honestly one of the best classes.</li> <li>• Best course I've taken in SIAT. The material is incredibly relevant and should be essential SIAT material. Teach us more web design!!</li> <li>• Projects/labs.</li> <li>• Very good course for teaching fundamentals and higher level design.</li> <li>• Good course. Useful. Could be more engaging. Not sure about having final exam.</li> <li>• For me the learning amount was reasonable because Im new to web design.</li> </ul> <p>Overall I'm so happy I took this course☺.</p>
<p><b>Weakest:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Course expected too much from beginners.</li> <li>• Marking was too harsh for a intro to web.</li> <li>• Overall the lecture content was good, but I feel like too much time was dedicated to talking in groups (which wasn't very beneficial) and there was too much overlap with other course content (ie: learning about Neilson, again.).</li> <li>• Too much group work. Coding individually is much better for learning.</li> <li>• Too many projects.</li> <li>• Not a 300 level science course.</li> <li>• Great course, only criticism is it assumed some basic knowledge of coding which I didn't have.</li> <li>• Too little covered on Js. Too little covered on responsive web.</li> <li>• Course assignments a bit disconnected from content.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The teacher was interesting, however project 1 had a huge disconnect with course content.</li> <li>• Mobile should be completely new project.</li> <li>• There should be individual sketch assignments to allow absolute beginners to become more proficient on their own.</li> </ul>

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Great course. SIAT needs more web dev courses. Andrew is great.
- Great class.
- Needs a lower level introduction course to web design.
- A lot more work than I expected. The skill for web design in the class was unfair to people whose concentration is not in web development.
- Dragon puppy loves Andrew's web design course! No weaknesses. ONLY STRENGTH! Derp.
- Decrease scope of projects so that they can be more individual. Also focus on web programming basics before going straight to projects. Small skill based assignments.
- It is not always necessary to have a lot of vocal participation to indicate engagement or learning. If the questions are thought provoking, people will answer and many people are uncomfortable speaking up in large groups.
- No sequencing service please!
- For a science course, not enough coding. For a 3<sup>rd</sup> year course, material is too basic – HTML & CSS are taught in highschool! Make the course more advanced.
- I wish this course has more individual assignment and more technical perspective. When its hand on, you learn more.
- You're doing good!
- I learned a lot through the labs. I think the whole course should just be labs.
- Make it mandatory & level 200. Get rid of 222.
- Ok good class. Meow.
- Needs to teach more courses. We need more people like him in SIAT!
- A++, 10/10, would take again and recommend to friends. Seriously, should be a mandatory SIAT course. I'd love a 100/200 course similar to this (minus portfolio) and a 300/400 level dedicated to front/back end (jQuery, etc).
- I think it makes more sense for this course to be a 1<sup>st</sup> year class.
- Would love to learn how to code for content management systems (ex. Wordpress).
- The lecture is a little bit cut and dry but overall it is good.
- Scrap videos, provide code bibles for us challenged-full and keep it teamless. Nevertheless, an essential class.