

T.A.: HAWRYSHKEWICH A

Course: IAT 235

Semester: 11-3

D102

TEACHING ASSISTANT EVALUATION

Frequency Distribution

Weight: 4 3 2 1 0

Valid No
Mean Responses Resp.

1. How often did you attend your tutorial (or lab)?

always 14 3 0 1 0 hardly ever
78% 17% 0% 6% 0%

3.67 18 1

2. Tutorials (or labs) and lectures were

coordinated 11 6 2 0 0 not coordinated
58% 32% 11% 0% 0%

3.47 19 0

3. Was the T.A. reasonably accessible for extra help?

available 15 3 1 0 0 never available
79% 16% 5% 0% 0%

3.74 19 0

4. Did the T.A. keep to his/her scheduled office hours?

always 14 4 1 0 0 hardly ever
74% 21% 5% 0% 0%

3.68 19 0

5. Questions during tutorial (or lab) were

encouraged 15 4 0 0 0 discouraged
79% 21% 0% 0% 0%

3.79 19 0

6. The T.A.'s marking was

fair 6 8 2 3 0 unfair
32% 42% 11% 16% 0%

2.89 19 0

7. The T.A.'s interest in the course content appeared to be

high 12 5 2 0 0 low
63% 26% 11% 0% 0%

3.53 19 0

8. Was the T.A. punctual in starting tutorials (or labs)?

always 16 3 0 0 0 hardly ever
84% 16% 0% 0% 0%

3.84 19 0

A B C D F

9. I would rate the tutorial (or lab) as

12 7 0 0 0
63% 37% 0% 0% 0%

3.63 19 0

10. I would rate the T.A.'s teaching ability as

14 5 0 0 0
74% 26% 0% 0% 0%

3.74 19 0

SIAT Teaching Assistant Evaluation Remarks

Teaching Assistant:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Fall 2011 (1117)
Course:	IAT 235 – Information Design
Section:	D102

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 2 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullet points.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the TA?

Strongest:

- Seems to like what he teaches.
- Very good at providing constructive criticism. Fun and quirky, made labtime enjoyable.
- Very knowledgeable and able to assist with any problems.
- Explained the materials slowly & clearly.
- Very good TA & funny. Enjoy attending his lab. Very helpful & trying hard to explain criteria clear.
- Willing to help.
- Andrew always encourages questions and takes the time to ensure that everyone is at the same pace. He also provides constructive feedback on all our assignments.
- Andrew has been a very punctual and knowledgeable TA for this course. He has a high interest in the material which in turn helps to motivate his students.

Weakest:

- Hard to comprehend at times. Too hard of a grader, we don't like to put so much effort to only get barely half marks.
- Marking was unfair.
- Hardest marking TA – ever! Marks way too hard.

Question 2: Can you offer any suggestions for improving the TA's style of presentation, individual consultation, marking, etc?

- In the future, spend a little more time teaching the software because it seems like a key tool in passing this course.
- Overall the tutorial was good but I did not fully agree with the grading.

T.A.: HAWRYSHKEWICH A

Course: IAT 235

Semester: 11-3

D103

TEACHING ASSISTANT EVALUATION

	Frequency Distribution					Valid Responses	No Resp.			
	Weight:	4	3	2	1			0		
1. How often did you attend your tutorial (or lab)?	always	13 93%	0 0%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever	3.86	14	0
2. Tutorials (or labs) and lectures were	coordinated	12 86%	2 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	not coordinated	3.86	14	0
3. Was the T.A. reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	13 93%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.93	14	0
4. Did the T.A. keep to his/her scheduled office hours?	always	13 93%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever	3.93	14	0
5. Questions during tutorial (or lab) were	encouraged	13 93%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	3.93	14	0
6. The T.A.'s marking was	fair	9 64%	3 21%	2 14%	0 0%	0 0%	unfair	3.50	14	0
7. The T.A.'s interest in the course content appeared to be	high	13 93%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	low	3.93	14	0
8. Was the T.A. punctual in starting tutorials (or labs)?	always	13 93%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever	3.93	14	0
		A	B	C	D	F				
9. I would rate the tutorial (or lab) as		11 79%	2 14%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%		3.71	14	0
10. I would rate the T.A.'s teaching ability as		14 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		4.00	14	0



School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)
250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Teaching Assistant Evaluation Remarks

Teaching Assistant:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Fall 2011 (1117)
Course:	IAT 235 – Information Design
Section:	D103

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 2 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullet points.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the TA?
Strongest: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gives good advice regarding projects ideas feedback. • Awesome TA! • Discussion time @ beginning of class w/classmates = awesome idea. ☺ • The TA always gives good critiques. • Entertaining, engaging, you're perfect!
Weakest: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Question 2: Can you offer any suggestions for improving the TA's style of presentation, individual consultation, marking, etc?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

T.A.: HAWRYSHKEWICH A
 Course: IAT 235
 Semester: 11-3

D104

TEACHING ASSISTANT EVALUATION

	Frequency Distribution						Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.	
	Weight:	4	3	2	1					0
1. How often did you attend your tutorial (or lab)?	always	11 100%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever	4.00	11	0
2. Tutorials (or labs) and lectures were	coordinated	7 64%	1 9%	3 27%	0 0%	0 0%	not coordinated	3.36	11	0
3. Was the T.A. reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	9 82%	2 18%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	never available	3.82	11	0
4. Did the T.A. keep to his/her scheduled office hours?	always	9 82%	1 9%	1 9%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever	3.73	11	0
5. Questions during tutorial (or lab) were	encouraged	7 64%	3 27%	1 9%	0 0%	0 0%	discouraged	3.55	11	0
6. The T.A.'s marking was	fair	4 40%	3 30%	1 10%	2 20%	0 0%	unfair	2.90	10	1
7. The T.A.'s interest in the course content appeared to be	high	8 80%	1 10%	1 10%	0 0%	0 0%	low	3.70	10	1
8. Was the T.A. punctual in starting tutorials (or labs)?	always	8 80%	2 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever	3.80	10	1
A B C D F										
9. I would rate the tutorial (or lab) as		3 27%	6 55%	2 18%	0 0%	0 0%		3.09	11	0
10. I would rate the T.A.'s teaching ability as		7 64%	4 36%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		3.64	11	0



School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Teaching Assistant Evaluation Remarks

Teaching Assistant:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Fall 2011 (1117)
Course:	IAT 235 – Information Design
Section:	D104

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 2 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullet points.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the TA?
Strongest: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great TA. • Lots of laughs. Very fun. • Approachability, relaxed feedback, good for teaching. • Critiques were good, and reserved. • Clear speaking, good skill to point out faults/what we did wrong.
Weakest: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some critiques felt a bit too “nitpicky”.
Question 2: Can you offer any suggestions for improving the TA’s style of presentation, individual consultation, marking, etc?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good job. • Just keep doing what your doing. • Maybe should work hard to discover how to encourage students to engage. • A little more critical feedback for bad designs. More examples that work well. • Be more bold to tell off people who are not listening.