

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A

Course: IAT 102

Semester: 14-2

D200

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND

1. What is your cumulative grade point average?

- 1) 3.5 or over
- 2) 3.0 to 3.49
- 3) 2.5 to 2.99
- 4) 2.0 to 2.49
- 5) below 2.0

2. Why did you take this course?

- 1) It was compulsory
- 2) I am interested in the course
- 3) No alternative course available
- 4) It looked like an easy credit
- 5) Other reasons

GENERAL

3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?

always 43 25 11 2 0 hardly ever

53% 31% 14% 2% 0%

3.35 81 0

4. The course prerequisites were

essential 21 17 13 3 24 not essential

27% 22% 17% 4% 31%

2.10 78 3

5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was

too easy 2 10 46 16 6 too difficult

3% 13% 58% 20% 8%

1.83 80 1

6. The amount of work required for the course was

too little 1 2 23 39 15 too much

1% 3% 29% 49% 19%

1.19 80 1

7. How valuable was the course content?

very 26 26 20 7 1 not very

33% 33% 25% 9% 1%

2.86 80 1

8. The course text or supplementary material was

relevant 28 17 23 9 2 irrelevant

35% 22% 29% 11% 3%

2.76 79 2

A B C D F

9. I would rate this course as

26 37 16 2 0
32% 46% 20% 2% 0%

3.07 81 0

Weight:	Frequency Distribution					Valid Responses	No Resp.
	4	3	2	1	0		

6 13 17 12 1
12% 27% 35% 24% 2%

2.86 49 32

5 37 4 5 2
9% 70% 8% 9% 4%

53 28

43 25 11 2 0
53% 31% 14% 2% 0%

3.35 81 0

21 17 13 3 24
27% 22% 17% 4% 31%

2.10 78 3

2 10 46 16 6
3% 13% 58% 20% 8%

1.83 80 1

1 2 23 39 15
1% 3% 29% 49% 19%

1.19 80 1

26 26 20 7 1
33% 33% 25% 9% 1%

2.86 80 1

28 17 23 9 2
35% 22% 29% 11% 3%

2.76 79 2

26 37 16 2 0
32% 46% 20% 2% 0%

3.07 81 0

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A		COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
Course: IAT 102											
Semester: 14-2											
		Frequency Distribution									
		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0				
COURSE GRADING								Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.	
10.	The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	45 56%	29 36%	5 6%	2 2%	0 0%	unrelated	3.44	81	0
11.	The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	30 37%	28 35%	12 15%	8 10%	3 4%	unfair	2.91	81	0
12.	The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	19 23%	26 32%	18 22%	12 15%	6 7%	unfair	2.49	81	0
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS											
13.	How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	34 42%	31 38%	15 19%	1 1%	0 0%	uninformative	3.21	81	0
14.	The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	50 62%	22 27%	7 9%	1 1%	1 1%	poor	3.47	81	0
15.	The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	49 60%	23 28%	7 9%	1 1%	1 1%	poor	3.46	81	0
16.	The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	55 68%	16 20%	9 11%	0 0%	1 1%	low	3.53	81	0
17.	The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	34 42%	27 33%	17 21%	1 1%	2 2%	inadequate	3.11	81	0
18.	Questions during class were	encouraged	51 63%	19 23%	9 11%	1 1%	1 1%	discouraged	3.46	81	0
19.	Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	55 68%	17 21%	8 10%	0 0%	1 1%	never available	3.54	81	0
20.	Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	42 53%	25 32%	11 14%	1 1%	0 0%	not at all	3.37	79	2
21.	Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	54 67%	17 21%	9 11%	1 1%	0 0%	poor	3.53	81	0
		A	B	C	D	F					
22.	I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		51 63%	22 27%	7 9%	1 1%	0 0%		3.52	81	0

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Summer 2014 (1144)
Course:	IAT 102
Section:	D200

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- Need more exercises and training on how to use those softwares like PS and AI. Hardly ever teach students how to use that.
- Excellent teaching style promoting our own thoughts/ideas, wish his lecture notes on slides were retrievable.
- Very cool! ☺
- Was good at communicating the material.
- He's very upbeat and I love his bowties!
- Funny man.
- Andrew was very engaging and animated during lecture, which made class very enjoyable.
- Ability to communicate material.
- Andrew's slides were engaging, he was also engaging and offered some great learning points.
- Great energy! Enthusiastic about course!
- Awesome class!
- Very passionate about the subject, makes coming to class alot more fun.
- Very enthusiastic and passionate. Encouraged participation.
- Great class.
- Very informative.
- Great communication in lecture.
- Andrew was very skilled in teaching it.



School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- He is enthusiastic and knows what he is doing.
- More illustrative examples/animated.
- Good teaching.
- Lectures were kind of boring but he tries to make it interesting.
- Teaching style.
- Highly interested and motivated.
- I think he was professional at all times when I meet him.
- Prof is very interested.
- Great prof.
- Andrew is good prof!

Weakest:

- Idk.
- Spent way too much time talking to my peers though...beneficial in some ways but also redundant if we're used to plain lecture format.
- Questions were kind of self-explanatory.
- Lots of work. Marking was hard.
- The marking was truly unfair. All the assignments were marked to the liking of the instructor and his TAs not what was expected. The instructor seemed to care about the students works and questions when in fact he acted cold and uncaring.
- Harsh critiques with less suggestions of improvement.
- He grades too hard.
- Expects everyone to know too much.
- However, marking are somewhat unfair because design is very subjective, more mark should be given regarding the process rather than the final deliverable.
- Marking scheme is way too hard for 1st year.
- No.
- No weakness.
- He needs to communicate better.
- Hard marking.

Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?

Strongest:

- Great course for IAT beginners. I have a great time taking this course. Thanks.
- I simultaneously really appreciate the emphasis on practical application, but wish the theory was more in-depth.

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Course material was very well taught and interesting, labs were very helpful.
- Talks about all aspects of design.
- A lot of work! But necessary.
- Great material.
- It is beneficial in other fields.
- Real world concepts.
- Fun course.

Weakest:

- Hard to get into the course @ course registration.
- LOTS of work.
- Amount of deliverables necessary didn't always feel needed.
- Boring class.
- Fun projects, but sometimes there were too many deliverables in a small timeframe.
- Too much money spent on printing.
- Days where assignments and project briefs were due produced alot of homework.
- Does not incorporate ideas from past topics learned.
- Weak usage of case studies.
- My problems with the course are mostly unrelated to the professor, but with the course itself. However the marking scheme was too difficult, it was way too much work, too much was expected of us (first experiences with graphic design). My friend cried because of the work load. Extremely disappointed with this course.
- There are many conceptual conflicts between designs. The grading system needs to improve.
- Too much printing.
- Quizzes are somewhat too hard...
- Misleading – students expect to do and learn mostly about the software instead learning a lot of theory.
- Many of the assignments (except the main projects) are not that relevant. I felt they did not really help my learning process. Were just...tedious. Group projects.
- Too high expectations for a 100 level course. Too difficult.
- Too difficult for a beginner level design course. Minimal teaching of programs, expects students to learn too much on their own. A lot of instructions unclear, even TA was confused.

School of Interactive Arts & Technology
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue
Surrey, British Columbia
Canada, V3T 0A3
www.siat.sfu.ca

T 778.782.7517
F 778.782.9422

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- For the class like this subjective basic ***

Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?

- I'm trying to transfer into SIAT but can't because all the courses and waitlists are full ☹️
- No
- No
- Go more indepth on the "how programs work".
- ☺️
- I already did my best and project seems not really suck as my score.
- More help with software. More advice for ppl who is not major in IAT.
- I hate in lecture activity. The course is too early.
- Overall enjoyed this course.
- H.A.G.S.
- ☺️
- This is NOT a 3 credit course.
- This is my first IAT course. I enjoy and learned a lot. I am glad I took this course.
- More case studies and fewer projects.
- The weekly deliverables are nice. Also having individual portions to complete was good and adequate.
- Please open up more courses for IAT. Very difficult to get in to a lot of courses.
- TA lacks constructive feedback.
- Very good prof.