

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A

Course: IAT 102

Semester: 13-1

D100

COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

BACKGROUND

1. What is your cumulative grade point average?

- 1) 3.5 or over
- 2) 3.0 to 3.49
- 3) 2.5 to 2.99
- 4) 2.0 to 2.49
- 5) below 2.0

2. Why did you take this course?

- 1) It was compulsory
- 2) I am interested in the course
- 3) No alternative course available
- 4) It looked like an easy credit
- 5) Other reasons

GENERAL

3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?

always 124 21 4 0 1 hardly ever

83% 14% 3% 0% 1%

3.78 150 2

4. The course prerequisites were

essential 29 13 37 8 52 not essential

21% 9% 27% 6% 37%

1.71 139 13

5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was

too easy 2 13 86 40 9 too difficult

1% 9% 57% 27% 6%

1.73 150 2

6. The amount of work required for the course was

too little 2 4 56 68 17 too much

1% 3% 38% 46% 12%

1.36 147 5

7. How valuable was the course content?

very 49 58 23 15 5 not very

33% 39% 15% 10% 3%

2.87 150 2

8. The course text or supplementary material was

relevant 47 40 28 24 10 irrelevant

32% 27% 19% 16% 7%

2.60 149 3

A B C D F

9. I would rate this course as

54 77 13 4 0
36% 52% 9% 3% 0%

3.22 148 4

Frequency Distribution

Weight: 4 3 2 1 0

Mean Valid Responses No Resp.

13 47 27 11 7
12% 45% 26% 10% 7%

2.98 105 47

32 68 1 4 3
30% 63% 1% 4% 3%

108 44

124 21 4 0 1
83% 14% 3% 0% 1%

3.78 150 2

29 13 37 8 52
21% 9% 27% 6% 37%

1.71 139 13

2 13 86 40 9
1% 9% 57% 27% 6%

1.73 150 2

2 4 56 68 17
1% 3% 38% 46% 12%

1.36 147 5

49 58 23 15 5
33% 39% 15% 10% 3%

2.87 150 2

47 40 28 24 10
32% 27% 19% 16% 7%

2.60 149 3

54 77 13 4 0
36% 52% 9% 3% 0%

3.22 148 4

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A Course: IAT 102 Semester: 13-1	COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
COURSE GRADING 10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were 11. The exams and assignments were on the whole 12. The marking scheme was on the whole INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS 13. How informative were the lectures/seminars? 14. The instructor's organization and preparation were 15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was 16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be 17. The instructor's feedback on my work was 18. Questions during class were 19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help? 20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints? 21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was 22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as	Frequency Distribution					Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.		
	Weight:	4	3	2	1				0	
	well related	85 57%	47 31%	10 7%	6 4%	2 1%	unrelated	3.38	150	2
	fair	53 36%	64 44%	18 12%	8 5%	4 3%	unfair	3.05	147	5
	fair	46 31%	53 36%	29 20%	11 7%	8 5%	unfair	2.80	147	5
	informative	73 49%	48 32%	19 13%	4 3%	4 3%	uninformative	3.23	148	4
	excellent	98 66%	40 27%	10 7%	0 0%	1 1%	poor	3.57	149	3
	excellent	103 69%	36 24%	6 4%	2 1%	2 1%	poor	3.58	149	3
	high	121 81%	18 12%	8 5%	0 0%	2 1%	low	3.72	149	3
	adequate	74 50%	47 32%	17 11%	6 4%	4 3%	inadequate	3.22	148	4
	encouraged	84 57%	36 24%	23 16%	2 1%	2 1%	discouraged	3.35	147	5
	available	90 61%	43 29%	9 6%	2 1%	3 2%	never available	3.46	147	5
	very	80 54%	47 32%	16 11%	2 1%	3 2%	not at all	3.34	148	4
	excellent	103 70%	36 24%	7 5%	0 0%	2 1%	poor	3.61	148	4
		A	B	C	D	F				
		97 66%	42 28%	7 5%	0 0%	2 1%		3.57	148	4

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Spring 2013 (1131)
Course:	IAT 102 – Graphic Design
Section:	D100

General Comments: This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- Excellent course material.
- Great instructor!
- Instructor really interesting to listen to.
- He is easy to understand.
- Andrew is an enthusiastic instructor and interesting to listen to. Provides relevant course material.
- Knows his material really well.
- Andrew was really enthusiastic about graphics and I enjoyed his lectures.
- Interesting, humorous.
- Very personable, approachable, funny, well prepared.
- Strongest feature about Andrew is his passion and expertise of design. He communicates really well and gives great feedback.
- Funny and engaging. Critiques are good.
- Fun prof, instructions online were clear, interesting material.
- Good job! Funny teacher, lectures are interesting.
- Entertaining, helpful, knowledgeable, passionate well prepared, responsive to feedback.
- Engaging.
- Funny, relaxed, good at basics – doesn't talk much about 'why' or critical thinking. E.g. how to express political vs. corporate, importance of design in history.



SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Good presentation – adequate feedback.
- Always prepared, clear.
- Engaging speaker.
- Provides clear instructions and adequate feedback.
- Very interesting to listen to & is funny.
- Friendly, easy to approach, very engaging and communicates well to students, provides helpful feedback.
- Good preparation, funny.
- Easy to understand. Good attitude, I enjoyed the class very much. Thank you! 😊.
- One of the most organized professors I've had, was genuinely interested in teaching, not research, so that was really nice for a change.
- Passionate.
- Interesting presentation of course material.
- Strong lecturer, communicates material well.
- Interesting prof, engaged students. Well prepared lectures.
- No comment.
- Made the lectures fun & interesting! I always looked forward to them. Content was well related & slides were very helpful.
- Nice prof, fun lecture. But too much work.
- Great help, good office hours.
- He gives really useful feedback that I can easily understand the concept of and use in my current assignment and future work.
- Clear communicator, good teacher.
- Humor 😊.
- Interesting way of teaching, communicate course material well.
- Very nice instructor! But need good TAs too.
- Very talented, very personable, great at giving knowledgeable/strong advice, trusted his opinion.
- Engaging, interesting, funny.
- Very engaging professor & lectures.
- Great lectures! Always entertained, very informative!
- Nice teaching style! Funny!
- He was good.
- Has great interest in the course and very fun classes.
- High interest in the course.



SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- A great prof ☺.
- Fun lecture!
- Never stop being energetic in lecture.

Weakest:

- Slightly vague feedback.
- Grades are very close despite the quality of work.
- Marking scheme for a 100 level course was ridiculously difficult. People do not know why they got the marks they received. Too much work. Lack of feedback.
- Thursday labs need to be ready on Monday (less time than other tutorials).
- The prof's marking scheme is REALLY weird and he tried to cut off students' mark just to make this course seem difficult. Prof is not helpful at all he has too lack of office hour and always wait when his office hour is finished. I don't recommend this prof to anyone.
- Workload was fine. Communication b/n TA was lacking a bit.
- Not enough office hours.
- N/A.
- Too lenient with students, too much talking by students in lecture. Silence them!
- Providing complete suggestions.
- Lectures are not very informative, lecture slides have dored design.
- N/A.
- Heavy irrelevant work load: quizzes readings, assignments AND projects. No marking rubrics to explain grades on projects. Zero explanation provided.
- He was good but he was not informative. His grading was off.
- None!

Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?

Strongest:

- Teaching basics of graphic design.
- Great course! I learnt a lot☺.
- The course is useful.
- Useful, leave with portfolio additions, cool projects.
- Fun!
- All assignments were well related to projects.
- Workload was just right.
- No comment.
- Good explanations.

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Good for new designers.
- Gave valuable information, 3 projects very great at using diff. aspects of design.
- Fun.
- Very insightful and interesting.
- The topic.

Weakest:

- Assignments.
- Hate the random presentations in lectures, should be done voluntarily for extra credit. The last project was a little vague at first. Quizzes (easy to forget) should be set up right after lecture.
- Work load is too heavy and the marking scheme is too tough for a first-year course.
- Time consuming, no group evals. Too many quizzes & assignments.
- Quiz schedule is bad – should be within tutorial.
- It must be really hard for those students who aren't familiar with adobe creative suite.
- The marking scheme is weird.
- Weekly assignments sometimes seemed irrelevant though.
- Not enough time to research an artist.
- Lectures aren't as informative as it could be... can easily do assignments w/only reading & not attending lecture.
- Only way to do well is spend go to office hours. Which some people don't have time. Labs are too long & uninformative → slides should be available online. Thanks.
- The work & final project require a lot of time. Average 6+ hour per week. Waste money, all the poster printing are expensive.
- Almost too much material to cover well.
- The course has 5 markers, which does make it fair, but also makes it hard to know who is right when the prof and TA give different, sometimes contradicting, feedback.
- Not relevant to those already with experience.
- Overwhelming at first, a lot of hours goes into class.
- Course is interesting, but very harsh in marking.
- Hard.
- Hard marking, only concern.



SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- None.
- Too hard as an intro class.
- More time.

Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?

- Awesome course thank you, hope to have more courses with you.
- Office hours are meant to be supplementary, but students are required to go to find out where marks were taken off. Also thinking “outside” the box is not suggested for the course.
- N/A.
- I think we should be encouraged to collaborate more in the design process rather than create our own work and then choose 1 persons designs to hand in.
- Online quizzes etc – remind us in class!
- Worst prof!
- Using labs for more software training would have been appreciated.
- Need more feedback on assignments when we get them back to explain why we got the mark we got because marking was often hard and the marking is quite subjective.
- Thanks for the great semester! Im sad the semester is over! KCCO!
- More consistency between TA’s and prof would be helpful in terms of critique. Office hours should be by apt possibly to allow for more organization. Provide rubric with marked projects.
- Could have more dynamic presentation.
- Make quizzes available after lecture, not on Wednesday.
- Not much improvements needed in terms of teaching. Should grade in terms of first year students’ skill level (current grading is too harsh). Should provide comments with projects grades.
- Best prof ever.
- Thumbs up.
- Nope.
- Lots of work but overall great class!
- He’s fun but I found this course is somewhat hard. They don’t really teach you technical stuff but you have to learn by yourself.
- Wicked glasses bro!
- Add \$50 printing cost to course est costs.
- ☺.

SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Stop talking about hipsters and comic sans, it's very clichéd.
- Andrew is a very good teacher, his strongest point is his ability to get the information across clearly. Although he seemed not interested and unhelpful when I went in with a concern about my groupmates.
- Would love to have Andrew come over to labs to give feedback for designs & presentation if possible!
- His class is fun!
- I just love this course!!
- None.
- ☺.
- This course is awesome!!! Really love attending the lectures and content was interesting! ☺.
- Andrew is awesome!!
- Felt there should be one individual project. Know it's a lot of marking but its hard for students starting out in design to find their strengths when having to compromise from the start.
- The assignment should be due a week before the poster is due because I don't need critique after I've already done the poster.
- Awesome!
- Really enjoy your classes! Maybe we need more available office hours.
- ☺.
- Good!
- Andrew is good prof but he takes FOREVER to respond to emails. If I ask a question on a Friday, he won't respond until Monday morning when the assignment is due.
- Course was not that bad if you tried even a little and bothered to get feedback, just don't be shy YOLO.
- Comic Sans.