

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A Course: IAT 102 5100 Semester: 15-2	COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION																																																																																																																											
<p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>1. What is your cumulative grade point average?</p> <p>1) 3.5 or over  2) 3.0 to 3.49  3) 2.5 to 2.99  4) 2.0 to 2.49  5) below 2.0</p> <p>2. Why did you take this course?</p> <p>1) It was compulsory  2) I am interested in the course  3) No alternative course available  4) It looked like an easy credit  5) Other reasons</p> <p>GENERAL</p> <p>3. How often did you attend the lectures/seminars?</p> <p>4. The course prerequisites were</p> <p>5. The overall level of difficulty for the course was</p> <p>6. The amount of work required for the course was</p> <p>7. How valuable was the course content?</p> <p>8. The course text or supplementary material was</p> <p>9. I would rate this course as</p>	Frequency Distribution						Valid No Mean Responses Resp.																																																																																																																					
	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Weight:</th> <th style="text-align: center;">4</th> <th style="text-align: center;">3</th> <th style="text-align: center;">2</th> <th style="text-align: center;">1</th> <th style="text-align: center;">0</th> <th colspan="2"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">5 11%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14 31%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20 44%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5 11%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1 2%</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">7 15%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">34 71%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">2 4%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5 10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">always 69%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16 27%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2 3%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 0%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 0%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">hardly ever</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">essential 21%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4 7%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20 36%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4 7%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16 29%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">not essential</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">too easy 3%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5 8%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">33 54%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17 28%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4 7%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">too difficult</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">too little 3%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4 7%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">23 38%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19 31%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13 21%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">too much</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">very 44%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13 21%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18 30%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3 5%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 0%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">not very</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">relevant 33%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17 28%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">16 26%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3 5%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5 8%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">irrelevant</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">A</td> <td style="text-align: center;">B</td> <td style="text-align: center;">C</td> <td style="text-align: center;">D</td> <td style="text-align: center;">F</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Weight:	4	3	2	1		0				5 11%	14 31%	20 44%	5 11%	1 2%									7 15%	34 71%							2 4%	5 10%							0 0%			always 69%	16 27%	2 3%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever			essential 21%	4 7%	20 36%	4 7%	16 29%	not essential			too easy 3%	5 8%	33 54%	17 28%	4 7%	too difficult			too little 3%	4 7%	23 38%	19 31%	13 21%	too much			very 44%	13 21%	18 30%	3 5%	0 0%	not very			relevant 33%	17 28%	16 26%	3 5%	5 8%	irrelevant			A	B	C	D	F			<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2.94</td> <td style="text-align: center;">45</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">48</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3.66</td> <td style="text-align: center;">59</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1.86</td> <td style="text-align: center;">56</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1.74</td> <td style="text-align: center;">61</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1.39</td> <td style="text-align: center;">61</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">61</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">2.72</td> <td style="text-align: center;">61</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">60</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	2.94	45	17	48	14		3.66	59	3	1.86	56	6	1.74	61	1	1.39	61	1	3.05	61	1	2.72	61	1	3.05
Weight:	4	3	2	1	0																																																																																																																							
	5 11%	14 31%	20 44%	5 11%	1 2%																																																																																																																							
						7 15%	34 71%																																																																																																																					
						2 4%	5 10%																																																																																																																					
						0 0%																																																																																																																						
	always 69%	16 27%	2 3%	0 0%	0 0%	hardly ever																																																																																																																						
	essential 21%	4 7%	20 36%	4 7%	16 29%	not essential																																																																																																																						
	too easy 3%	5 8%	33 54%	17 28%	4 7%	too difficult																																																																																																																						
	too little 3%	4 7%	23 38%	19 31%	13 21%	too much																																																																																																																						
	very 44%	13 21%	18 30%	3 5%	0 0%	not very																																																																																																																						
	relevant 33%	17 28%	16 26%	3 5%	5 8%	irrelevant																																																																																																																						
	A	B	C	D	F																																																																																																																							
2.94	45	17																																																																																																																										
48	14																																																																																																																											
3.66	59	3																																																																																																																										
1.86	56	6																																																																																																																										
1.74	61	1																																																																																																																										
1.39	61	1																																																																																																																										
3.05	61	1																																																																																																																										
2.72	61	1																																																																																																																										
3.05	60	2																																																																																																																										
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">18 30%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">29 48%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">11 18%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2 3%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 0%</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	18 30%	29 48%	11 18%	2 3%	0 0%		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">3.05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">60</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	3.05	60	2																																																																																																																		
18 30%	29 48%	11 18%	2 3%	0 0%																																																																																																																								
3.05	60	2																																																																																																																										

Instructor: HAWRYSHKEWICH A		COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION									
Course: IAT 102											
Semester: 15-2											
		Frequency Distribution									
COURSE GRADING		Weight:	4	3	2	1	0				
								Mean	Valid Responses	No Resp.	
10. The assignments and lecture/seminar were	well related	37 61%	16 26%	5 8%	3 5%	0 0%	unrelated	3.43	61	1	
11. The exams and assignments were on the whole	fair	18 30%	20 33%	16 27%	6 10%	0 0%	unfair	2.83	60	2	
12. The marking scheme was on the whole	fair	13 21%	17 28%	19 31%	10 16%	2 3%	unfair	2.48	61	1	
INSTRUCTOR AND LECTURES/SEMINARS											
13. How informative were the lectures/seminars?	informative	35 58%	16 27%	9 15%	0 0%	0 0%	uninformative	3.43	60	2	
14. The instructor's organization and preparation were	excellent	40 66%	17 28%	2 3%	2 3%	0 0%	poor	3.56	61	1	
15. The instructor's ability to communicate material was	excellent	39 64%	16 26%	5 8%	1 2%	0 0%	poor	3.52	61	1	
16. The instructor's interest in the course content appeared to be	high	50 82%	6 10%	4 7%	1 2%	0 0%	low	3.72	61	1	
17. The instructor's feedback on my work was	adequate	22 36%	21 34%	14 23%	3 5%	1 2%	inadequate	2.98	61	1	
18. Questions during class were	encouraged	44 72%	10 16%	5 8%	2 3%	0 0%	discouraged	3.57	61	1	
19. Was the instructor reasonably accessible for extra help?	available	41 68%	15 25%	3 5%	1 2%	0 0%	never available	3.60	60	2	
20. Was the instructor responsive to suggestions or complaints?	very	35 59%	15 25%	7 12%	1 2%	1 2%	not at all	3.39	59	3	
21. Overall, the instructor's attitude towards students was	excellent	44 72%	14 23%	2 3%	1 2%	0 0%	poor	3.66	61	1	
		A	B	C	D	F					
22. I would rate the instructor's teaching ability as		36 60%	20 33%	4 7%	0 0%	0 0%		3.53	60	2	



School of Interactive Arts & Technology  
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue  
Surrey, British Columbia  
Canada, V3T 0A3  
[www.siat.sfu.ca](http://www.siat.sfu.ca)

T 778.782.7517  
F 778.782.9422

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

Instructor:	Andrew Hawryshkewich
Semester:	Summer 2015 (1154)
Course:	IAT 102
Section:	D100

**General Comments:** This form contains all of the student responses for the 3 questions below. All student responses for each question were pooled together; individual student responses are separated by bullets.

Question 1: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the instructor, as a teacher?

Strongest:

- Excellent ability to make students engaged during the class.
- Passionate about the course.
- Andrew is very enthusiastic and organized during lecture.
- The instructor's interest level and knowledge of the course is high but he's not very assertive.
- He's very organized and speaks clearly as well as connecting with the students through jokes and actions.
- Ability to make diy material interesting
- Many practice
- Andrew is very enthusiastic and his interest in course is apparent.
- Good at explaining
- Instructor is entertaining, engaging and interesting
- All lectures were enjoyable & informative.
- Very passionate about graphic design.
- A nice class with a nice guy.
- Really engaged with what he teaches
- Very great class in terms of learning but assignments markings are on the tough side.
- Exciting projects.
- His lecture style such as giving us discussion time during lecture is really helpful.
- Andrew is really good at communicating content which makes it easy for me to

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

understand concepts.

- Andrew is really good at communicating content which makes it easy for me to understand concepts.
- Really good class!
- Awesome possum!!!
- I think Andrew is a great instructor who is passionate about the course material
- Andrew is an awesome teacher, professional, fun, and easy to understand students can relate to him very well.
- Keep graphic design going.
- This teacher is very easy to relate to and brings a fresh, youthful approach to the course material.
- Andrew is the most personable lecturer I have ever had. His positive attitude and enthusiasm for the course material makes coming to lecture fun, and getting excited about homework easy.
- Very nice person
- Good at keeping class interested
- Very knowledgeable on material
- Great positive and inviting energy
- Very good with feedback and critique of your own work.
- Positive attitude
- Personality; informative
- He is a good speaker and has very informative and engaging slides.
- Not too high-level. A perfect workload & introduction to graphic design.

#### Weakest:

- Some of the specific details of the main projects could have been made more clear in labs.
- Sometimes, the things he said were a bit ambiguous
- Too much righting..project 5 was reflecting on reflecting on reflecting.
- A lot of variety of course design but lack of hands on activity.
- Teach students use Adobe to design; which develops skills.
- Improvements can be made in communicating more effectively to the class.
- Lots of work
- Marks are heavily weighed on group projects so if your group sucks, you're screwed.



School of Interactive Arts & Technology  
(SIAT)

250 – 13450 102nd Avenue  
Surrey, British Columbia  
Canada, V3T 0A3  
[www.siat.sfu.ca](http://www.siat.sfu.ca)

T 778.782.7517  
F 778.782.9422

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- Lab critis are sometimes just waiting around.
- Assignments never really related to course.
- No weaknesses was identified.
- I felt that the reading were a little excessive, as lecturers were informative. Grading scale didn't make sense. The TA's couldn't even tell me what an A expectation met.
- Felt the courseload was on the light side.
- More text explaining the content in the lecture slides would be beneficial.
- He doesn't command the attention of the room.
- Too much work, maybe overwhelmed with other course.
- I wanted to learn more about the different types of graphic design and I didn't think it was fair that majority of the courses assignments revolved around the magazine layout design. I think more examples that followed our restrictions could have been given. (ie. Black =white magazine layout).
- Hard marking
- Lecture and assignments weren't heavily relevant.
- I feel the marking is kinda unfair. I work really hard & don't get the grades I feel I deserve. I went for extra office hours for critiquing & stuff.
- Reading were long

Question 2: What do you consider to be the strongest and weakest features of the course?

Strongest:

- Content
- Course encouraged interaction between students.
- Good intro to theory of basic design
- The instructor gave us more understanding in the graphic design.
- Course & lectures work very well together, though marking seemed tough at times & difficult to interpret what is a content
- The course is fun & worth taking.
- Good at keeping class entertained.
- Pretty good.
- Teach method

Weakest:

- TA's feedback is poor
- Awfully disjointed in terms of the taught material

School of Interactive Arts & Technology  
(SIAT)  
250 – 13450 102nd Avenue  
Surrey, British Columbia  
Canada, V3T 0A3  
[www.siat.sfu.ca](http://www.siat.sfu.ca)

T 778.782.7517  
F 778.782.9422

### SIAT Course & Instructor Evaluation Remarks

- The course does not supply enough feedback to improve their marks.
- Had a hard time reading materials online. Having a textbook to follow throughout the course could help. Otherwise, Andrew is a very knowledgeable in this course and provides great feedback.
- Group Projects

#### Question 3: Any other comments or suggestions?

- Improve feedback for students work.
- There's a lot of work if you don't manage your time wisely, however, there are guidelines that make it easier on the student.
- More information on the software. Many are beginner.
- A little subjective.
- It's not clear what an A or A+ is besides a number.
- The marking scheme was very unfair.+
- Rubric makes extra high grades unachievable. I heard stories where students get high grades for unique work that doesn't fit the rubric (not specifically this class, but for IAT) and that worries me greatly.
- HW only once every 2 weeks.
- MORE FEEDBACK ON PROJECTS !!! quizzes were useless.